Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,686	EDWARDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory M. Desire	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Gregory M. Desire.	(3)	
(2) Applicant representative William Schaal.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 June 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Dregny Desné		
(Examihed/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/177,480

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 cite a computer readable medium. The specification page 6 describes a computer readable medium to include a carrier wave. Thus, claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 are described as signal claims. The examiner suggested amending claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 to be limited to computer storage medium. Thus, claiming away from a signal claim. Claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 were ameneded to read a computer- readable storage medium.